

PART 1 **OIL AND NATURAL GAS RESERVES AND NET PRESENT VALUE OF FUTURE NET REVENUE**

In accordance with National Instrument 51-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities, McDaniel & Associates (“McDaniel”) prepared the McDaniel Report evaluating, as at December 31, 2008, International Frontier Resources Corporation (“IFR”) oil reserves. The preparation date is March 9, 2009. The tables below are a summary of the oil and the net present value of future net revenue attributable to such reserves as evaluated in the McDaniel Report based on forecast price and cost assumptions. The tables summarize the data contained in the McDaniel Report and as a result may contain slightly different numbers than such report due to rounding. Also due to rounding, certain columns may not add exactly.

The net present value of future net revenue attributable to IFR’s reserves is stated without provision for interest costs and general and administrative costs, but after providing for estimated royalties, production costs, development costs, other income, future capital expenditures, and well abandonment costs for only those wells assigned reserves by McDaniel. It should not be assumed that the undiscounted or discounted net present value of future net revenue attributable to IFR’s reserves estimated by McDaniel represent the fair market value of those reserves. Other assumptions and qualifications relating to costs, prices for future production and other matters are summarized herein. The recovery and reserve estimates of IFR’s oil, reserves provided herein are estimates only and actual reserves may be greater than or less than the estimates provided herein.

The McDaniel Report is based on certain factual data supplied by IFR and McDaniel’s opinion of reasonable practice in the industry. The extent and character of ownership and all factual data pertaining to IFR’s petroleum properties and contacts (except for certain information residing in the public domain) were supplied by IFR to McDaniel and accepted without any further investigation. McDaniel accepted this data as presented and neither title searches nor field inspections were conducted.

PART 2. **RESERVES DATA**

ITEM 2.1 **FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS**

Summary of Oil and Gas Reserves

	Gross Reserves ⁽¹⁾ Light / Medium Crude Oil (Mbbls)	Net Reserves ⁽²⁾ Light / Medium Crude Oil (Mbbls)
Proved		
Developed Producing	76.7	61.9
Developed Non-Producing	-	-
Undeveloped	-	-
Total Proved	76.7	61.9
Probable	32.5	26.1
Total Proved plus Probable	109.2	88

- (1) Gross reserves are working interest reserves before royalty deductions.
- (2) Net reserves include working interest after royalty deductions plus royalty interest reserves.

Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue of Oil and Gas Reserves

	Before Future Income Tax Expenses and Discounted at				
	0% (M\$)	5% (M\$)	10% (M\$)	15% (M\$)	20% (M\$)
Proved					
Developed Producing	1,128	1,027	932	848	774
Developed Non-Producing	-	-	-	-	-
Undeveloped	-	-	-	-	-
Total Proved	1,128	1,027	932	848	774
Probable	850	622	466	357	279
Total Proved plus Probable	1,978	1,649	1,398	1,209	1,053

	After Future Income Tax Expenses and Discounted at				
	0% (M\$)	5% (M\$)	10% (M\$)	15% (M\$)	20% (M\$)
Proved					
Developed Producing	1,128	1,027	932	848	774
Developed Non-Producing	-	-	-	-	-
Undeveloped	-	-	-	-	-
Total Proved	1,128	1,027	932	848	774
Probable	850	622	466	357	279
Total Proved plus Probable	1,978	1,649	1,398	1,209	1,053

Additional Information Concerning Future Net Revenue – (Undiscounted)

	Revenue M\$	Royalties M\$	Operating Costs M\$	Development Costs M\$	Abandonment and Reclamation Costs M\$	Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes ⁽¹⁾ M\$	Income Taxes M\$	Future Net Revenue After Incomes Taxes M\$
Total Proved Reserves	5,575	1,083	2,842	n/a	522	1,128	n/a	1,128
Total Proved Plus Probable	8,284	1,620	4,154	n/a	533	1,978	n/a	1,978

Oil Reserves and Net Present Values of Production Group - Total of All Areas

Reserve Group by Category	Reserves		NPV or FNR			Unit
	Oil		Before Income Taxes (1)			Values(2)
	Gross Mbbbl	Net Mbbbl	0.0% M\$	10.0% M\$	15.0% M\$	\$/bbl
Light and Medium Oil						
Proved Producing	77	62	1,128	932	847	15.06
Proved Non-Producing	-	-	-	-	-	-
Proved Undeveloped	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Proved	77	62	1,128	932	848	15.06
Probable	32	26	850	466	357	17.85
Total Proved & Probable	109	88	1,978	1,398	1,204	15.89
(1)	Processing income is included where applicable					
(2)	Unit values are calculated using the 10% discount rate divided by the Major Product Type Net reserves for each group					

Undiscounted Future Net Revenues- Forecast Prices and Costs as of December 31, 2007

Total Reserves – Total of All Areas

	Sales Revenue M\$	Royalties M\$	Operating Costs M\$	Total Development Costs M\$	Well Abandonment Costs M\$	Future Net Revenues Before Tax M\$	Income Taxes M\$	Future Net Revenues After Tax M\$
Total Proved Reserves	5,575	1,083	2,842	-	522	1,128	-	1,128
Total Proved & Probable Reserves	8,284	1,620	4,154	-	533	1,978	-	1,978

Future Net Revenue by Production Group

Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue \$M (before income taxes, 10% discount rate)

Proved plus Probable	
Light & Medium Crude Oil	1,398

PART 3 PRICING ASSUMPTIONS

ITEM 3.1 FORECAST PRICES AND COSTS

Summary of Price Forecasts – January 1, 2009

Year	WTI Crude Oil \$/BBL (1)	Brent Crude Oil \$/BBL (2)	Edmonton Light Crude Oil \$/BBL (3)	Bow Medium Crude Oil \$/BBL (4)	Alberta Heavy Crude Oil \$/BBL (5)	Cromer Medium Crude Oil \$/BBL (6)	Cond. & Natural Gasolines \$/Bbl	Edmonton Propane \$/Bbl	Edmonton Butanes \$/Bbl	Edmonton NGL Mix \$/Bbl (7)	Inflation %	US/CA Exchan Rate \$/C\$
forecast												
2009	60.00	58.50	69.60	54.80	47.00	61.80	71.60	44.10	51.00	52.00	2	0.850
2010	71.40	69.90	83.00	65.30	56.10	73.70	85.00	50.80	60.80	61.10	2	0.850
2011	83.20	81.60	91.40	72.00	61.80	81.20	93.50	55.10	67.00	66.90	2	0.900
2012	90.20	88.60	93.90	73.90	64.00	83.40	96.00	56.90	68.80	68.90	2	0.950
2013	97.40	95.80	96.30	75.90	65.60	85.60	98.50	58.40	70.50	70.70	2	1.00
2014	99.40	97.70	98.30	77.40	67.00	87.40	100.50	59.70	72.00	72.20	2	1.00
2015	101.40	99.70	100.30	79.00	68.80	89.10	102.60	60.80	73.50	73.60	2	1.00
2016	103.40	101.70	102.30	80.50	70.20	90.90	104.60	62.00	74.90	75.00	2	1.00
2017	105.40	103.60	104.20	82.10	71.60	92.60	106.50	63.20	76.30	76.40	2	1.00
2018	107.60	105.80	106.40	83.80	73.00	94.60	108.80	64.50	77.90	78.10	2	1.00
2019	109.70	107.90	108.50	85.40	74.50	96.40	110.90	65.80	79.50	79.60	2	1.00
2020	111.90	110.00	110.70	87.20	76.00	98.30	113.20	67.10	81.10	81.20	2	1.00
2021	114.10	112.20	112.80	88.90	77.50	100.30	115.30	68.40	82.60	82.80	2	1.00
2022	116.40	114.50	115.10	90.70	79.00	102.30	117.70	69.80	84.30	84.50	2	1.00
2023	118.80	116.80	117.50	92.50	80.70	104.40	120.10	71.30	86.10	86.20	2	1.00

thereafter +2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr+2.0%/yr+2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr +2.0%/yr 1.00

- (1) West Texas Intermediate at Cushing Oklahoma 40 degrees API/0.5% sulphur
- (2) North Sea Brent Blend 37 degrees API/1.0% sulphur
- (3) Edmonton Light Sweet 40 degrees API/0.5% sulphur
- (4) Bow River Medium 25 degrees API/2.1% sulphur at Hardisty, Alberta
- (5) Heavy crude oil 12 degrees API at Hardisty, Alberta (after deduction of blending costs to reach pipeline quality)
- (6) Midale Cromer crude oil 29 degrees API/2.0% sulphur
- (7) NGL Mix based on 45 percent propane, 35 percent butane and 20 percent natural gasolines.

G070101 – Effective January 1, 2009

PART 4 **RECONCILIATIONS OF CHANGES IN RESERVES AND FUTURE NET REVENUE**

ITEM 4.1 **RESERVES RECONCILIATION**

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of IFR's total net proved probable and proved plus probable reserves (gross) as at December 31, 2008 against such reserves as at December 31, 2007 based on forecast price and cost assumptions.

	Total Proved Reserves Mbbbl	Probable Reserves Mbbbl	Total Proved Plus Probable Mbbbl
December 31, 2007	89	33	122
Extensions	0	0	0
Improved Recovery	0	0	0
Technical Revisions	0	0	0
Discoveries	0	0	0
Acquisitions	0	0	0
Dispositions	0	0	0
Economic Factors	0	0	0
Production	14	0	14
December 31, 2008	77	33	110

PART 5 **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATING TO RESERVES DATA**

ITEM 5.1 **UNDEVELOPED RESERVES**

The following discussion generally describes the basis on which IFR attributes Proved and Probable Undeveloped Reserves and its plans for developing those Undeveloped Reserves.

Probable Undeveloped Reserves

Probable undeveloped reserves are generally those reserves tested or indicated by analogy to be productive, infill drilling locations and lands contiguous to production. The majority of these reserves are planned to be on stream within a two year timeframe.

ITEM 5.2 SIGNIFICANT FACTORS OR UNCERTAINTIES AFFECTING RESERVES DATA

The process of estimating reserves is complex. It requires significant judgments and decisions based on available geological, geophysical, engineering, and economic data. These estimates may change substantially as additional data from ongoing development activities and production performance becomes available and as economic conditions impacting oil and gas prices and costs change. The reserve estimates contained herein are based on current production forecasts, prices and economic conditions IFR reserves are evaluated by McDaniel & Associates, an independent engineering firm.

As circumstances change and additional data become available, reserve estimates also change. Estimates made are reviewed and revised, either upward or downward, as warranted by the new information. Revisions are often required due to changes in well performance, prices, economic conditions and governmental restrictions.

Although every reasonable effort is made to ensure that reserve estimates are accurate, reserve estimation is an inferential science. As a result, the subjective decisions, new geological or production information and a changing environment may impact these estimates. Revisions to reserve estimates can arise from changes in year-end oil and gas prices, and reservoir performance. Such revisions can be either positive or negative.

Future Development Costs

The table below sets out the development costs deducted in the estimation of future net revenue attributable to proved reserves and proved plus probable reserves (using forecast prices and costs only).

	Forecast Prices and Costs	
	Proved Reserves	Proved Plus Probable Reserves
	(M\$)	(M\$)
2005	0	0
2006	0	0
2007	0	0
2008	0	0
2009	0	0
Remaining Years	0	0
Total Undiscounted	0	0
Total Discounted at 10% per year	0	0

PART 6 OTHER OIL & GAS INFORMATION

ITEM 6.1 OIL & GAS PROPERTIES

IFR's producing oil property is located in the Alderson Area of SE Alberta, specifically Twp. 16, Rge. 11, W4M. The company owns a 100% working interest in the Lower Mannville "M2M" pool, which is comprised of five (5) producing oil wells, three (3) suspended oil wells and one (1) water disposal well. All wells are pipeline connected to a 100% W.I. central battery and separation facility.

.Oil and Gas Wells

The following table summarizes IFR's interest as at December 31, 2008 in wells that are producing and non-producing.

	Producing Wells		Non-Producing Wells	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Alberta	5	5	6	6

ITEM 6.2 PROPERTIES WITH NO ATTRIBUTED RESERVES

The following table summarizes and the gross and net acres of unproved properties at Dec. 31,2008 in which IFR has an interest and includes the number of net acres for which IFR's rights to explore, develop or exploit will, absent further action, expire within one year (Dec. 31, 2009)

	Gross Acres	Net Acres	Net Acres Expiring Within One Year
Alberta, Canada	640	640	Nil
NWT, Canada	1,320,729	272,988	Nil
North Sea, UKCS	115,545	10,978	Nil

ITEM 6.2.1 LICENSE DETAILS

Exploration License No. 397, NWT Central MaKenzie Valley(CMV)

Summit Creek SDL – 8.2112% : Area - 11,070 acres (gross) 909 acres net
Stewart Lake SDL – 11.88% (pooled): Area - 18,487 acres (gross) 2,196 acres net
Work Program - \$15.5 million (fulfilled)
Term – Significant Discovery License (no expiry)
Operator – Husky Oils Operations Limited

In 2008 three Significant Discovery Licenses ("SDL") were awarded by the National Energy Board on lands located within EL 397. The Summit and Stewart SDLs are significant in that there will be no land expiry until all hydrocarbons have been produced. All other lands associated with EL 397 have expired. At Dec. 31, 2008, McDaniels and Associates have assigned a Contingent Resource Estimate to both SDLs.

Exploration License No 423, NWT/CMV

IFR Working Interest – 15%
Area – 226,500 acres (gross) 33,975 acres net
Work Program - \$24.5 million (fulfilled)
Term Expiry – Q3, 2012
Operator – Husky Oil Operations

In Q1, 2008 two exploration wells were drilled on the license, and both the Dahadinni B-20 and the Keele River L-52 wells were plugged and abandoned as dry holes. The drilling of the wells has extended the term of the license for an additional period of four years. At Dec. 31, 2008 there were no reserves assigned to EL 423.

Exploration License No. 441, NWT/CMV

IFR Working Interest – 13.45%
Area – 218,500 acres (gross) 29,388 acres net
Work Program - \$ 10.5 million (partially fulfilled)
Expiry – Q3, 2010
Operator – Husky Oil Operations

The Operator plans to shoot a 2D seismic program in Q3, 2010. At December 31, 2008 there were no reserves assigned to EL-441

Exploration License No. 443, NWT/CMV

IFR Working Interest – 25%
Area – 227,750 acres (gross) 56,937 acres net
Work Program - \$4.8 million
Expiry – Q3, 2011
Operator – Husky Oil Operations

The Operator plans to shoot a 2D seismic program in Q3, 2010. At Dec. 31, 2008 there were no reserves assigned to EL- 443.

TDL Freehold Lands, NWT/ CMV

IFR Working Interest – various
Area – 48,960 acres (gross) 7344 acres net
Expiry – none, pending annual rental payment (\$20/ha gross)
Operator – Husky Oil Operations

At Dec.31,2008 the Company held a 16.11% interest in the following 5 Tulilta District Land Corporation (“TDL”) Freehold Parcels: **M-32, M-33, M-34, M-35, and M-39**. There are no reserves assigned to these Freehold parcels.

The Company has a 15% interest in TDL Freehold parcels **M-37** and **M-38**. **M-38** falls within the Stewart Lake SDL boundaries, and as such, McDaniels and Associates have assigned a Contingent Resource Estimate to **M-38**.

Exploration License No. 432 NWT/ Colville Hills (CH)

IFR Working Interest – 25%
Area – 158,000 acres (gross) 39,500 acres net
Work Program - \$4 million (fulfilled)
Expiry – Q2, 2010
Operator – BG International Limited

In Q1, 2008 a 181 km 2D seismic program was shot over EL 432. At Dec. 31, 2008 no reserves were assigned to EI 432.

Exploration License No. 445 NWT/ CH

IFR Working Interest – 25%
Area – 206,000 acres (gross) 51,500 acres net
Work Program - \$1.2 million (partially fulfilled)
Expiry – Q2, 2011
Operator – BG International Limited

No work or capital expenditures was done in 2008. At Dec. 31, 2008 no reserves were assigned to to EL 445.

Exploration License No. 429 NWT/ CH

IFR Working Interest – 25%
Area – 204,700 acres (gross) 51,175 acres net
Work Program - \$12.5 million (fulfilled)
Expiry – Q2, 2010
Operator – BG International Limited

At Dec. 31, 2008 no reserves were assigned to EL 429.

North Sea UKCS

The Company operates in the UK through its wholly owned subsidiary, **Britcana Energy Ltd. ("BEL")**

Maria Prospect – License P233 Quad 15 Block 18a

BEL Working Interest – 8.33%
Area – 35,765 acres (gross) 2,978 acres net
Work Program– drill a well (fulfilled)
Expiry – Q1, 2011(shallow) Q4, 2009 (deep)
Operator – PetroCanada UK Limited ("PCUK")

The Company farmed in on PCUK paying 11% to earn 8.33% on all fallow discoveries within Block 18a. The well was drilled in Q1 2008, and the Operator classified it as non-commercial oil discovery. At Dec. 31,2008 McDaniels and Associates have assigned a Contingent Resource Estimate for this well.

Alpha Prospect (Bowmore) – License P1465 Quad 15 Blocks 24a,23c,28a,29e

BEL Working Interest – 10%
Area – 80,000 (est) acres (gross) 8,000 acres net
Work Program – 2 firm + 2 contingent wells plus seismic
Expiry – Q2, 2011
Operator – Nippon Oil Exploration and Production UK ("NOEPUK")

The Company anticipates a Jurassic well will spud in Q2,2009. At Dec.31,2008 no reserves have assigned to License P1465.

ITEM 6.3 FORWARD CONTRACTS

The company does not have any product price hedges on forward contracts at December 31, 2008

ITEM 6.4 ABANDONMENT AND RECLAMATION COSTS

IFR estimates well abandonment costs typically area by area. Such costs are included in the McDaniel Report as deductions in arriving at future net revenue. The expected total abandonment and reclamation costs included in the McDaniel Report for eight (8) wells under the proved reserves category is (\$522,000) undiscounted (\$279,000) discounted at 10%. This estimate includes expected reclamation costs for surface leases. Expected future abandonment costs related to facilities are expected to match the salvage value recovery. The Company does not envision abandoning any of the eight (net) wells in the next three year period.

ITEM 6.5 TAX HORIZON

IFR has approximately \$ 9,329,100 of tax pools available for future deduction. The Company does not expect to pay income taxes in 2008.No taxes will be payable for the life of these reserves.

ITEM 6.6 COSTS INCURRED

The following table summarizes IFR's property acquisition costs, exploration costs and development costs for the year ended December 31, 2008.

	<u>Property Acquisition Costs</u>		<u>Exploration & Drilling Costs</u>	<u>Development & Facilities Costs</u>
	<u>Proved Properties</u>	<u>Unproved Properties</u>		
Canada	-	-	9,681,610	-
North Sea	-	-	4,612,850	-
Total (M\$)	-	-	14,294,460	0

ITEM 6.7 EXPLORATION & DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

The Company drilled 3 exploratory wells in Q1 2008, one in the UKCS North Sea, and two in the North West Territories. In the UKCS, the Maria 15/18a well (8.33% WI) was rig released on March 13, and encountered oil and gas in the target reservoir, however the operator deemed the discovery sub-economic. The well was not tested, and was deemed a dry hole. In the NWT, the Dahadinni B-20 well (15% WI) did not reach the target reservoir due to drilling problems and a shortened winter season, and was plugged as a dry hole. The second NWT well, Keele River L-52 (15% WI) was a dry hole.

DRILLING ACTIVITY

The following table summarizes IFR's drilling results for the year ended December 31, 2008

	<u>Exploratory Wells</u>	
	<u>Gross</u>	<u>Net</u>
Natural Gas	0	0
Dry	3	.38
Total	3	.38

ITEM 6.8 PRODUCTION ESTIMATES

The following table discloses, by field for each product type, the total volume of production estimated by McDaniel for 2009 in the estimates of future net revenue from proved reserves disclosed above under the heading "Oil and Natural Gas Reserves and Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue".

	<u>Light and Medium Crude Oil (Bbbls/d)</u>	<u>BOE (BOE/d)</u>
	Alderson	36
Total	36	36

ITEM 6.9 PRODUCTION HISTORY

The following table discloses, on a quarterly basis for the year ended December 31, 2008, IFR's share of average daily production volume, prior to royalties, and the prices received, royalties paid, production costs incurred and netbacks on a per unit of volume basis for each product type.

Average Daily Production Volume

	Three Months Ended				Total
	Mar. 31, 2008	June 30, 2008	Sept. 30, 2008	Dec. 31, 2008	
Light & Medium Crude Oil (Bbl/d)	41	39	39	38	39
Total (Bbl/d)	41	39	39	38	39

Prices Received, Royalties Paid, Production Costs and Netbacks

(\$/bbl)	Three Months Ended				Total
	Mar. 31, 2008	June 30, 2008	Sept. 30, 2008	Dec. 31, 2008	
Prices Received	76.09	106.45	108.98	56.67	87.03
Royalties Paid	12.23	20.73	24.79	9.75	16.87
Production Costs	30.90	22.95	25.12	21.42	25.09
Netback(1)	32.96	62.77	59.07	25.50	45.07

Note: (1) Netback is calculated by deducting royalties paid and production costs from prices received.

Production Volume by Field

The following table indicates the average daily production from IFR's producing properties for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Field	Bbl (Bbl/d)	%
Alderson	39	100
Total	39	100

APPENDIX "A"



March 9, 2009

International Frontier Resources Corporation

100, 601 – 10 Avenue SW

Calgary, Alberta

Attention: The Board of Directors of International Frontier Resources Corporation

Re: **Form 51-101F2**

Report on Reserves Data by an Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator of International Frontier Resources Corporation (the "Company")

To the Board of Directors of International Frontier Resources Corporation (the "Company"):

1. We have evaluated the Company's reserves data as at December 31, 2008. The reserves data are estimates of proved reserves and probable reserves and related future net revenue as at December 31, 2008 estimated using forecast prices and costs.
2. The reserves data are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express and opinion on the reserves data based on our evaluation.

We carried out our evaluation in accordance with standards set out in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook (the "COGE Handbook") prepared jointly by the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (Calgary Chapter) and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy & Petroleum (Petroleum Society).

3. Those standards require that we plan and perform an evaluation to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the reserves data are free of material misstatement. An evaluation also includes assessing whether the reserves data are in accordance with principles and definitions presented in the COGE Handbook.
4. The following table sets forth the estimated future net revenue (before deduction of income taxes) attributed to provide plus probable reserves, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated using a discount rate of 10 percent, included in the reserves data of the Company evaluated by us, for the year ended December 31, 2008, and identifies the respective portions thereof that we have evaluated, audited and reviewed and reported on to the Company's management:

Preparation Date of Evaluation Report	Location of Reserves	Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue \$M (before income taxes, 10% discount rate)			
		Audited	Evaluated	Reviewed	Total
March 9,2009	Canada	-	1,398	-	1,398

5. In our opinion, the reserves data evaluated by us have, in all material respects, been determined and are in accordance with the COGE Handbook. We express no opinion on the reserves data that we reviewed but did not audit or evaluate.
6. We have no responsibility to update our report referred to in paragraph 4 for events and circumstances occurring after the preparation date.
7. Because the reserves data are based on judgments regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material. However, any variations should be consistent with the fact that reserves are categorized according to the probability of their recovery.

Executed as to our report referred to above:

MCDANIEL & ASSOCIATES CONSULTANTS LTD.



B.H. Emslie, P. Eng.
Senior Vice President

Calgary, Alberta

APPENDIX "B"
FORM 51-101F3
REPORT OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS
ON RESERVES DATA AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION

Management of **International Frontier Resources Corporation**, (the "**Corporation**") is responsible for the preparation and disclosure, or arranging for the preparation and disclosure of information with respect to the Corporation's oil and gas activities in accordance with securities regulatory requirements. This information includes reserves data, which consist of the following:

- (a) (i) Proved and Proved plus probable oil and gas reserves estimated as at December 31, 2008 using forecast prices and costs: and
- (ii) the related estimated future net revenue.

An independent qualified reserves evaluator has evaluated the Corporation's reserves data. The report of the independent qualified reserves evaluator will be filed with securities regulatory authorities concurrently with this report.

The Reserves Committee of the Board of Directors of the Corporation has:

- (a) reviewed the Corporation's procedures for providing information to the independent qualified reserves evaluator;
- (b) met with the independent qualified reserves evaluator to determine whether any restrictions affected the ability of the independent qualified reserves evaluator to report without reservation; and
- (c) reviewed the reserves data with management and the independent qualified reserves evaluator.

The Reserves Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed the Corporation's procedure for assembling and reporting other information associated with oil and gas activities and has reviewed that information with management. The Board of Directors has, on the recommendation of the Reserves Committee approved:

- (a) the content and filing with securities regulatory authorities of Form 51-101F1 containing reserves data and other oil and gas information;
- (b) the filing of Form 51-101F2 which is the report of the independent qualified reserves evaluator on reserves data; and
- (c) the content and filing of this report.

Because the reserves data are based on judgments regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material. However, any variation should be consistent with the fact that reserves are categorized according to the probability of their recovery.

(signed) "Pat Boswell"

Pat Boswell
President & Chief Executive Officer

(signed) "Mark Powell"

Mark Powell
Director, Chairman of Reserve Committee

(signed) "Laurie Smith"

Luarie Smith
Director

(signed) "Bill McNaughton"

Bill McNaughton
Director

April 21, 2009